

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
DOCKET NO. 90-642-C - ORDER NO. 91-486  
MAY 31, 1991

IN RE: Application of London Communications, )  
Inc. for a Certificate of Public ) ORDER GRANTING  
Convenience and Necessity to Provide ) CLARIFICATION  
Intrastate Resold Telecommunications )  
Services. )

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) by way of a Petition for Reconsideration or Clarification filed by London Communications, Inc. (London) seeking reconsideration of the Commission's Order No. 91-108 issued in the instant docket. The Petition seeks reconsideration or clarification of the Commission's findings contained in Paragraph 5 of Order No. 91-108. Specifically, London requests that the Commission reconsider its denial of London's request for authority to provide 0+ automated collect calling on an intraLATA basis.

Paragraph 5 of Order No. 91-108 states that:

London's request for COCOT authority to provide 0+ automated collect calling is granted as to the interLATA provision of such service. As for the intraLATA provision of 0+ automated collect calling, the request is denied. The application of London did not specifically request this service. Even though the Commission has granted intraLATA 0+ automated calling from confinement facilities to certain companies in Docket Nos. 90-305-C and 90-642-C, London must seek such authority by making an appropriate filing with the Commission subject to public notice.

The Commission's intent by the language of Paragraph 5 would grant London the COCOT authority to provide the 0+ automated collect calling on an interLATA basis. Additionally, London has authority to operate as a telecommunications reseller on an intrastate interLATA basis. By granting London a reseller certificate and a certificate which would include the requested interLATA COCOT authority, the Commission has determined that London Communications, Inc. is a "telephone utility" as defined by S. C. Code Ann., §58-9-10 (6). Additionally, by granting London a certificate the Commission has determined that London is fit, willing, and able to operate as a telephone utility in South Carolina. Therefore, London has established its fitness in the instant docket.

Docket No. 90-305-C referred to by London is a generic docket which established the public convenience and necessity for the 0+ automated collect calling services on an intraLATA basis. The Commission's finding in Paragraph 5 of Order No. 91-108 requires that once London has become certified to provide interstate long distance services, any additional services sought to be provided must be properly noticed. The Commission, by requiring London to seek intraLATA 0+ automated collect calling by making the "appropriate filing with the Commission subject to public notice" is not requiring London to establish its fitness nor is it requiring London to establish the public convenience and necessity of intraLATA 0+ automated collect calling. London is merely

required to file the appropriate new service offering which would be subject to public notice and a hearing may be required.

The Commission takes note that London has already filed a new service offering which would provide 0+ automated collect calling on an intraLATA basis and has asked for the approval of rates and charges for this new service. The Commission finds that London has filed this new service offering in compliance with the requirements of Paragraph 5 of Order No. 91-108. No separate application is required.

By clarifying the Petition for Reconsideration or Clarification filed on behalf of London Communications, Inc., the Commission finds that the Petition for Reconsideration should be denied and that the request for Clarification is granted to the extent herein clarified.


IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

  
Chairman

ATTEST:

Deputy

  
Executive Director

(SEAL)